In discussing this proposal with those receiving a copy of this memo two additional items should be included to make this a comprehensive

- Roadway north of department (Design work \$15,000 had begun on this project but is now holding because of tight money for plant improvement work.)
- Permanently installed sump pumps in the \$15,000 three proposed settling basins contingent upon loss volume. (3 x \$5,000)

Grand Total -\$81,000

CER 095374

CONFIDENTIAL 92-CV-204-WDS

The housekeeping (plant improvement) and pollution control portions of the above proposal are related to each other. Better house-keeping is desirable, and for total pollution control, necessary. On the other hand, paying roadways and tank farms increases the sewer load.

Another alternative is to do only part (a) above, putting in a settling basin for the existing sewers in the old and new process areas. Including a permanently installed pump the cost would be \$11,000, all pollution control money. I would estimate that this basin would collect 50% of the 50,000#/yr. estimated losses. It would also give us a much better fix on the actual losses in the various areas.

Your guidance is needed on where we go from here. Can the entire proposal or any part of it be justified at this time?

R. M. McCutchan

ghw

CER 095375

. .